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#### Abstract

Using tripolar coordinates, we prove that if $P$ is a point in the plane of triangle $A B C$ such that the Euler lines of triangles $P B C, A P C$ and $A B P$ are concurrent, then their intersection lies on the Euler line of triangle $A B C$. The same is true for the Brocard axes and the lines joining the circumcenters to the respective incenters. We also prove that the locus of $P$ for which the four Euler lines concur is the same as that for which the four Brocard axes concur. These results are extended to a family $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ of lines through the circumcenter. The locus of $P$ for which the four $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ lines of $A B C, P B C, A P C$ and $A B P$ concur is always a curve through 15 finite real points, which we identify.


## 1. Four line concurrency

Consider a triangle $A B C$ with incenter $I$. It is well known [13] that the Euler lines of the triangles $I B C, A I C$ and $A B I$ concur at a point on the Euler line of $A B C$, the Schiffler point with homogeneous barycentric coordinates ${ }^{1}$

$$
\left(\frac{a(s-a)}{b+c}: \frac{b(s-b)}{c+a}: \frac{c(s-c)}{a+b}\right) .
$$

There are other notable points which we can substitute for the incenter, so that a similar statement can be proven relatively easily. Specifically, we have the following interesting theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $P$ be a point in the plane of triangle $A B C$ such that the Euler lines of the component triangles $P B C, A P C$ and $A B P$ are concurrent. Then the point of concurrency also lies on the Euler line of triangle $A B C$.

When one tries to prove this theorem with homogeneous coordinates, calculations turn out to be rather tedious, as one of us has noted [14]. We present an easy analytic proof, making use of tripolar coordinates. The same method applies if we replace the Euler lines by the Brocard axes or the $O I$-lines joining the circumcenters to the corresponding incenters.
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## 2. Tripolar coordinates

Given triangle $A B C$ with $B C=a, C A=b$, and $A B=c$, consider a point $P$ whose distances from the vertices are $P A=\lambda, P B=\mu$ and $P C=\nu$. The precise relationship among $\lambda, \mu$, and $\nu$ dates back to Euler [4]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mu^{2}+\nu^{2}-a^{2}\right)^{2} \lambda^{2}+\left(\nu^{2}+\lambda^{2}-b^{2}\right)^{2} \mu^{2}+\left(\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2}-c^{2}\right)^{2} \nu^{2} \\
& -\left(\mu^{2}+\nu^{2}-a^{2}\right)\left(\nu^{2}+\lambda^{2}-b^{2}\right)\left(\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2}-c^{2}\right)-4 \lambda^{2} \mu^{2} \nu^{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

See also [1, 2]. Geometers in the 19th century referred to the triple $(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ as the tripolar coordinates of $P$. A comprehensive introduction can be found in [12]. ${ }^{2}$ This series begins with the following easy theorem.

Proposition 2. An equation of the form $\ell \lambda^{2}+m \mu^{2}+n \nu^{2}+q=0$ represents a circle or a line according as $\ell+m+n$ is nonzero or otherwise.

The center of the circle has homogeneous barycentric coordinates $(\ell: m: n)$. If $\ell+m+n=0$, the line is orthogonal to the direction $(\ell: m: n)$. Among the applications one finds the equation of the Euler line in tripolar coordinates [op. cit. §26]. ${ }^{3}$

Proposition 3. The tripolar equation of the Euler line is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(b^{2}-c^{2}\right) \lambda^{2}+\left(c^{2}-a^{2}\right) \mu^{2}+\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right) \nu^{2}=0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We defer the proof of this proposition to $\S 5$ below. Meanwhile, note how this applies to give a simple proof of Theorem 1.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $P$ be a point with tripolar coordinates $(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ such that the Euler lines of triangles $P B C, A P C$ and $A B P$ intersect at a point $Q$ with tripolar coordinates $\left(\lambda^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}, \nu^{\prime}\right)$. We denote the distance $P Q$ by $\rho$.

Applying Proposition 3 to the triangles $P B C, A P C$ and $A B P$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\nu^{2}-\mu^{2}\right) \rho^{2}+\left(\mu^{2}-a^{2}\right) \mu^{\prime 2}+\left(a^{2}-\nu^{2}\right) \nu^{\prime 2}=0, \\
& \left(b^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right) \lambda^{\prime 2}+\left(\lambda^{2}-\nu^{2}\right) \rho^{2}+\left(\nu^{2}-b^{2}\right) \nu^{\prime 2}=0, \\
& \left(\lambda^{2}-c^{2}\right) \lambda^{\prime 2}+\left(c^{2}-\mu^{2}\right) \mu^{\prime 2}+\left(\mu^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right) \rho^{2}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding up these equations, we obtain (1) with $\lambda^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}, \nu^{\prime}$ in lieu of $\lambda, \mu, \nu$. This shows that $Q$ lies on the Euler line of $A B C$.
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Figure 1

## 4. Tripolar equations of lines through the circumcenter

O. Bottema [2, pp.37-38] has given a simple derivation of the equation of the Euler line in tripolar coordinates. He began with the observation that since the point-circles

$$
\lambda^{2}=0, \quad \mu^{2}=0, \quad \nu^{2}=0
$$

are all orthogonal to the circumcircle, ${ }^{4}$ for arbitrary $t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}$, the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1} \lambda^{2}+t_{2} \mu^{2}+t_{3} \nu^{2}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

represents a circle orthogonal to the circumcircle. By Proposition 2, this represents a line through the circumcenter if and only if $t_{1}+t_{2}+t_{3}=0$.

## 5. Tripolar equation of the Euler line

Consider the centroid $G$ of triangle $A B C$. By the Apollonius theorem, and the fact that $G$ divides each median in the ratio $2: 1$, it is easy to see that the tripolar coordinates of $G$ satisfy

$$
\lambda^{2}: \mu^{2}: \nu^{2}=2 b^{2}+2 c^{2}-a^{2}: 2 c^{2}+2 a^{2}-b^{2}: 2 a^{2}+2 b^{2}-c^{2}
$$

It follows that the Euler line $O G$ is defined by (2) with $t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{1} & + & t_{2} & + \\
\left(2 b^{2}+2 c^{2}-a^{2}\right) t_{1} & +\left(2 c^{2}+2 a^{2}-b^{2}\right) t_{2} & +\left(2 a^{2}+2 b^{2}-c^{2}\right) t_{3} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
t_{1}: t_{2}: t_{3}=b^{2}-c^{2}: c^{2}-a^{2}: a^{2}-b^{2}
$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.
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## 6. Tripolar equation of the $O I$-line

For the incenter $I$, we have

$$
\lambda^{2}: \mu^{2}: \nu^{2}=\csc ^{2} \frac{A}{2}: \csc ^{2} \frac{B}{2}: \csc ^{2} \frac{C}{2}=\frac{s-a}{a}: \frac{s-b}{b}: \frac{s-c}{c}
$$

where $s=\frac{a+b+c}{2}$. The tripolar equation of the $O I$-line is given by (2) with $t_{1}, t_{2}$, $t_{3}$ satisfying

$$
t_{1}+t_{2}+t_{3}=0, \quad \frac{s-a}{a} t_{1}+\frac{s-b}{b} t_{2}+\frac{s-c}{c} t_{3}=0 .
$$

From these, $t_{1}: t_{2}: t_{3}=\frac{1}{b}-\frac{1}{c}: \frac{1}{c}-\frac{1}{a}: \frac{1}{a}-\frac{1}{b}$, and the tripolar equation of the $O I$-line is

$$
\left(\frac{1}{b}-\frac{1}{c}\right) \lambda^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{c}-\frac{1}{a}\right) \mu^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{a}-\frac{1}{b}\right) \nu^{2}=0 .
$$

The same reasoning in $\S 3$ yields Theorem 1 with the Euler lines replaced by the $O I$-lines.

## 7. Tripolar equation of the Brocard axis

The Brocard axis is the line joining the circumcenter to the symmedian point. Since this line contains the two isodynamic points, whose tripolar coordinates, by definition, satisfy

$$
\lambda: \mu: \nu=\frac{1}{a}: \frac{1}{b}: \frac{1}{c},
$$

it is easy to see that the tripolar equation of the Brocard axis is ${ }^{5}$

$$
\left(\frac{1}{b^{2}}-\frac{1}{c^{2}}\right) \lambda^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{c^{2}}-\frac{1}{a^{2}}\right) \mu^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{a^{2}}-\frac{1}{b^{2}}\right) \nu^{2}=0 .
$$

The same reasoning in $\S 3$ yields Theorem 1 with the Euler lines replaced by the Brocard axes.

## 8. The lines $\mathcal{L}_{n}$

The resemblance of the tripolar equations in $\S \S 5-7$ suggests consideration of the family of lines through the circumcenter:
$\mathcal{L}_{n}:$

$$
\left(b^{n}-c^{n}\right) \lambda^{2}+\left(c^{n}-a^{n}\right) \mu^{2}+\left(a^{n}-b^{n}\right) \nu^{2}=0,
$$

for nonzero integers $n$. The Euler line, the Brocard axis, and the $O I$-line are respectively $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ for $n=2,-2$, and -1 . In homogeneous barycentric coordinates,

[^3]the equation of $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ is ${ }^{6}$
$$
\sum_{\text {cyclic }}\left(a^{n}\left(b^{2}-c^{2}\right)-\left(b^{n+2}-c^{n+2}\right)\right) x=0
$$

The line $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ contains the points ${ }^{7}$

$$
(2 a+b+c: a+2 b+c: a+b+2 c)
$$

and

$$
\left(a(b+c)-(b-c)^{2}: b(c+a)-(c-a)^{2}: c(a+b)-(a-b)^{2}\right)
$$

Theorem 1 obviously applies when the Euler lines are replaced by $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ lines for a fixed nonzero integer $n$.

## 9. Intersection of the $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ lines

It is known that the locus of $P$ for which the Euler lines $\left(\mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ of triangles $P B C$, $A P C$ and $A B P$ are concurrent is the union of the circumcircle and the Neuberg cubic. ${ }^{8}$ See [10, p.200]. Fred Lang [9] has computed the locus for the Brocard axes $\left(\mathcal{L}_{-2}\right)$ case, and found exactly the same result. The coincidence of these two loci is a special case of the following theorem.

Theorem 4. Let $n$ be a nonzero integer. The $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ lines of triangles PBC, APC and $A B P$ concur (at a point on $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ ) if and only if the $\mathcal{L}_{-n}$ lines of the same triangles concur (at a point on $\mathcal{L}_{-n}$ ).

Proof. Consider the component triangles $P B C, A P C$ and $A B P$ of a point $P$. If $P$ has tripolar coordinates $(L, M, N)$, then the $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ lines of these triangles have tripolar equations
$\mathcal{L}_{n}(P B C):$
$\left(N^{n}-M^{n}\right) \rho^{2}+\left(M^{n}-a^{n}\right) \mu^{2}+\left(a^{n}-N^{n}\right) \nu^{2}=0$,
$\mathcal{L}_{n}(A P C): \quad\left(b^{n}-L^{n}\right) \lambda^{2}+\left(L^{n}-N^{n}\right) \rho^{2}+\left(N^{n}-b^{n}\right) \nu^{2}=0$,
$\mathcal{L}_{n}(A B P): \quad\left(L^{n}-c^{n}\right) \lambda^{2}+\left(c^{n}-M^{n}\right) \mu^{2}+\left(M^{n}-L^{n}\right) \rho^{2}=0$,
where $\rho$ is the distance between $P$ and a variable point $(\lambda, \mu, \nu) .{ }^{9}$ These equations can be rewritten as
${ }^{6}$ This can be obtained from the tripolar equation by putting

$$
\lambda^{2}=\frac{1}{(x+y+z)^{2}}\left(c^{2} y^{2}+\left(b^{2}+c^{2}-a^{2}\right) y z+b^{2} z^{2}\right)
$$

and analogous expressions for $\mu^{2}$ and $\nu^{2}$ obtained by cyclic permutations of $a, b, c$ and $x, y, z$.
${ }^{7}$ These are respectively the midpoint between the incenters of $A B C$ and its medial triangle, and the symmedian point of the excentral triangle of the medial triangle.
${ }^{8}$ The Neuberg cubic is defined as the locus of points $P$ such that the line joining $P$ to its isogonal conjugate is parallel to the Euler line.
${ }^{9}$ See Figure 1 , with $\lambda, \mu, \nu$ replaced by $L, M, N$, and $\lambda^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}, \nu^{\prime}$ by $\lambda, \mu, \nu$ respectively.

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
\left(L^{n}-b^{n}\right)\left(\rho^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right) & -\left(M^{n}-a^{n}\right)\left(\rho^{2}-\mu^{2}\right) & +\left(N^{n}-a^{n}\right)\left(\rho^{2}-\nu^{2}\right) & =0 \\
-\left(L^{n}-c^{n}\right)\left(\rho^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right) & -\left(M^{n}-c^{n}\right)\left(\rho^{2}-\mu^{2}\right) & -\left(N^{n}-b^{n}\right)\left(\rho^{2}-\nu^{2}\right) & =0 \\
& & =0 \tag{3}
\end{array}
$$

One trivial solution to these equations is $\rho=\lambda=\mu=\nu$, which occurs only when the variable point is the circumcenter $O$, with $P$ on the circumcircle. In this case the $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ lines all concur at the point $O$, for all $n$. Otherwise, we have a solution to (3) with at least one of the values $\rho^{2}-\lambda^{2}, \rho^{2}-\mu^{2}$, and $\rho^{2}-\nu^{2}$ being non-zero. And the condition for a solution of this kind is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L^{n}-b^{n}\right)\left(M^{n}-c^{n}\right)\left(N^{n}-a^{n}\right)=\left(L^{n}-c^{n}\right)\left(M^{n}-a^{n}\right)\left(N^{n}-b^{n}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This condition is clearly necessary. Conversely, take $P$ satisfying (4). This says that (3), as linear homogeneous equations in $\rho^{2}-\lambda^{2}, \rho^{2}-\mu^{2}$, and $\rho^{2}-\nu^{2}$, have a nontrivial solution $(u, v, w)$, which is determined up to a scalar multiple. Then the equations of the $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ lines of triangles $A B P$ and $P B C$ can be rewritten as $\left(\frac{1}{u}-\frac{1}{v}\right) X P^{2}-\frac{1}{u} X A^{2}+\frac{1}{v} X B^{2}=0$ and $\left(\frac{1}{v}-\frac{1}{w}\right) X P^{2}-\frac{1}{v} X B^{2}+\frac{1}{w} X C^{2}=0$. If $X$ is a point common to these two lines, then it satisfies

$$
\frac{X P^{2}-X A^{2}}{u}=\frac{X P^{2}-X B^{2}}{v}=\frac{X P^{2}-X C^{2}}{w}
$$

and also lies on the $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ line of triangle $A P C$.
Note that (4) is clearly equivalent to
$\left(\frac{1}{L^{n}}-\frac{1}{b^{n}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{M^{n}}-\frac{1}{c^{n}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{N^{n}}-\frac{1}{a^{n}}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{L^{n}}-\frac{1}{c^{n}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{M^{n}}-\frac{1}{a^{n}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{N^{n}}-\frac{1}{b^{n}}\right)$, which, by exactly the same reasoning, is the concurrency condition for the $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ lines of the same triangles.

Corollary 5. The locus of $P$ for which the Brocard axes of triangles $P B C, A P C$ and $A B P$ are concurrent (at a point on the Brocard axis of triangle $A B C$ ) is the union of the circumcircle and the Neuberg cubic.

Let $\mathcal{C}_{n}$ be the curve with tripolar equation

$$
\left(\lambda^{n}-b^{n}\right)\left(\mu^{n}-c^{n}\right)\left(\nu^{n}-a^{n}\right)=\left(\lambda^{n}-c^{n}\right)\left(\mu^{n}-a^{n}\right)\left(\nu^{n}-b^{n}\right)
$$

so that together with the circumcircle, it constitutes the locus of points $P$ for which the four $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ lines of triangles $P B C, A P C, A B P$ and $A B C$ concur. ${ }^{10}$ The symmetry of equation (4) leads to the following interesting fact.
Corollary 6. If $P$ lies on the $\mathcal{C}_{n}$ curve of triangle $A B C$, then $A$ (respectively $B$, $C)$ lies on the $\mathcal{C}_{n}$ curve of triangle $P B C$ (respectively $A P C, A B P$ ).
Remark. The equation of $\mathcal{C}_{n}$ can also be written in one of the following forms:

$$
\sum_{\text {cyclic }}\left(b^{n}-c^{n}\right)\left(a^{n} \lambda^{n}+\mu^{n} \nu^{n}\right)=0
$$

[^4]or
\[

\left|$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda^{n}+a^{n} & \mu^{n}+b^{n} & \nu^{n}+c^{n} \\
a^{n} \lambda^{n} & b^{n} \mu^{n} & c^{n} \nu^{n} \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}
$$\right|=0
\]

## 10. Points common to $\mathcal{C}_{n}$ curves

Proposition 7. A complete list of finite real points common to all $\mathcal{C}_{n}$ curves is as follows:
(1) the vertices $A, B, C$ and their reflections on the respective opposite side,
(2) the apexes of the six equilateral triangles erected on the sides of $A B C$,
(3) the circumcenter, and
(4) the two isodynamic points.

Proof. It is easy to see that each of these points lies on $\mathcal{C}_{n}$ for every positive integer $n$. For the isodynamic points, recall that $\lambda: \mu: \nu=\frac{1}{a}: \frac{1}{b}: \frac{1}{c}$. We show that $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ meet precisely in these 15 points. From their equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\lambda-b)(\mu-c)(\nu-a)=(\lambda-c)(\mu-a)(\nu-b) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda^{2}-b^{2}\right)\left(\mu^{2}-c^{2}\right)\left(\nu^{2}-a^{2}\right)=\left(\lambda^{2}-c^{2}\right)\left(\mu^{2}-a^{2}\right)\left(\nu^{2}-b^{2}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If both sides of (5) are zero, it is easy to list the various cases. For example, solutions like $\lambda=b, \mu=a$ lead to a vertex and its reflection through the opposite side (in this case $C$ and its reflection in $A B$ ); solutions like $\lambda=b, \nu=b$ lead to the apexes of equilateral triangles erected on the sides of $A B C$ (in this case on $A C)$. Otherwise we can factor and divide, getting

$$
(\lambda+b)(\mu+c)(\nu+a)=(\lambda+c)(\mu+a)(\nu+b)
$$

Together with (5), this is easy to solve. The only solutions in this case are $\lambda=$ $\mu=\nu$ and $\lambda: \mu: \nu=\frac{1}{a}: \frac{1}{b}: \frac{1}{c}$, giving respectively $P=O$ and the isodynamic points.

Remarks. (1) If $P$ is any of the points listed above, then this result says that the triangles $A B C, P B C, A P C$, and $A B P$ have concurrent $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ lines, for all non-zero integers $n$. There is no degeneracy in the case where $P$ is an isodynamic point, and we then get an infinite sequence of four-fold concurrences.
(2) The curve $\mathcal{C}_{4}$ has degree 7 , and contains the two circular points at infinity, each of multiplicity 3 . These, together with the 15 finite real points above, account for all 21 intersections of $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{4}$.

## 11. Intersections of Euler lines and of Brocard axes

For $n= \pm 2$, the curve $\mathcal{C}_{n}$ is the Neuberg cubic

$$
\sum_{\text {cyclic }}\left(\left(b^{2}-c^{2}\right)^{2}+a^{2}\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right)-2 a^{4}\right) x\left(c^{2} y^{2}-b^{2} z^{2}\right)=0
$$

in homogeneous barycentric coordinates. Apart from the points listed in Proposition 7, this cubic contains the following notable points: the orthocenter, incenter
and excenters, the Fermat points, and the Parry reflection point. ${ }^{11}$ A summary of interesting properties of the Neuberg cubic can be found in [3]. Below we list the corresponding points of concurrency, giving their coordinates. For points like the Fermat points and Napoleon points resulting from erecting equilateral triangles on the sides, we label the points by $\epsilon=+1$ or -1 according as the equilateral triangles are constructed exterior to $A B C$ or otherwise. Also, $\Delta$ stands for the area of triangle $A B C$. For functions like $F_{a}, F_{b}, F_{c}$ indexed by $a, b, c$, we obtain $F_{b}$ and $F_{c}$ from $F_{a}$ by cyclic permutations of $a, b, c$.

| $P$ | Intersection of Euler lines | Intersection of Brocard axes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circumcenter | Circumcenter | Circumcenter |
| Reflection of vertex <br> on opposite side | Intercept of Euler line <br> on the side line | Intercept of Brocard axis <br> on the side line |
| Orthocenter | Nine-point center | Orthocenter of orthic triangle |
| Incenter | Schiffler point | Isogonal conjugate of Spieker center |
| Excenters |  | $\left(\frac{a^{2}}{b+c}: \frac{b^{2}}{c-a}: \frac{c^{2}}{-a+b}\right)$ |
| $I_{a}=(-a: b: c)$ | $\left(\frac{a s}{b+c}: \frac{b(s-c)}{c-a}: \frac{c(s-b)}{-a+b}\right)$ | $\left(\frac{a^{2}}{-b+c}: \frac{b^{2}}{c+a}: \frac{c^{2}}{a-b}\right)$ |
| $I_{b}=(a:-b: c)$ | $\left(\frac{a(s-c)}{-b+c}: \frac{b s}{c+a}: \frac{c(s-a)}{a-b}\right)$ | $\left(\frac{a^{2}}{b-c}: \frac{b^{2}}{-c+a}: \frac{c^{2}}{a+b}\right)$ |
| $I_{c}=(a: b:-c)$ | $\left(\frac{a(s-b)}{b-c}: \frac{b(s-a)}{-c+a}: \frac{c s}{a+b}\right)$ | Isogonal conjugate of |
| $\epsilon$-Fermat point | centroid | $(-\epsilon)$-Napoleon point |
| $\epsilon$-isodynamic point |  | Isogonal conjugate of |
|  |  | $\epsilon$-Napoleon point |

Apexes of $\epsilon$-equilateral triangles erected on the sides of $A B C$. Let $P$ be the apex of an equilateral triangle erected the side $B C$. This has coordinates

$$
\left(-2 a^{2}: a^{2}+b^{2}-c^{2}+\epsilon \cdot \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} \Delta: c^{2}+a^{2}-b^{2}+\epsilon \cdot \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} \Delta\right)
$$

The intersection of the Euler lines has coordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(-a^{2}\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)\left(a^{2}-c^{2}\right):\right. & \left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)\left(a^{2} b^{2}+\epsilon \cdot \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} \Delta\left(a^{2}+b^{2}-c^{2}\right)\right) \\
: & \left.\left(a^{2}-c^{2}\right)\left(a^{2} c^{2}+\epsilon \cdot \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} \Delta\left(c^{2}+a^{2}-b^{2}\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the Brocard axis intersection is the point

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a^{2}\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)\left(a^{2}-c^{2}\right)\left(-\epsilon\left(b^{2}+c^{2}-a^{2}\right)+4 \sqrt{3} \Delta\right)\right. \\
: & b^{2}\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)\left(-\epsilon\left(a^{4}+2 b^{4}+3 c^{4}-5 b^{2} c^{2}-4 c^{2} a^{2}-3 a^{2} b^{2}\right)+4 \sqrt{3} \Delta\left(c^{2}+a^{2}\right)\right) \\
: & \left.c^{2}\left(a^{2}-c^{2}\right)\left(-\epsilon\left(a^{4}+3 b^{4}+2 c^{4}-5 b^{2} c^{2}-3 c^{2} a^{2}-4 a^{2} b^{2}\right)+4 \sqrt{3} \Delta\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

[^5]Isodynamic points. For the $\epsilon$-isodynamic point, the Euler line intersections are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a^{2}\left(\sqrt{3} b^{2} c^{2}+\epsilon \cdot 4 \Delta\left(b^{2}+c^{2}-a^{2}\right)\right)\right. \\
& : b^{2}\left(\sqrt{3} c^{2} a^{2}+\epsilon \cdot 4 \Delta\left(c^{2}+a^{2}-b^{2}\right)\right) \\
& \left.: c^{2}\left(\sqrt{3} a^{2} b^{2}+\epsilon \cdot 4 \Delta\left(a^{2}+b^{2}-c^{2}\right)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

These points divide the segment $G O$ harmonically in the ratio $8 \sin A \sin B \sin C$ : $3 \sqrt{3} .{ }^{12}$ The Brocard axis intersections for the Fermat points and the isodynamic points are illustrated in Figure 2.


Figure 2

The Parry reflection point. This is the reflection of the circumcenter in the focus of the Kiepert parabola. ${ }^{13}$ Its coordinates, and those of the Euler line and Brocard axis intersections, can be described with the aids of three functions.
(1) Parry reflection point: $\left(a^{2} P_{a}: b^{2} P_{b}: c^{2} P_{c}\right)$,
(2) Euler line intersection: ( $a^{2} P_{a} f_{a}: b^{2} P_{b} f_{b}: c^{2} P_{c} f_{c}$ ),
(3) Brocard axis intersection: $\left(a^{2} f_{a} g_{a}: b^{2} f_{b} g_{b}: c^{2} f_{c} g_{c}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{a}= & a^{8}-4 a^{6}\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right)+a^{4}\left(6 b^{4}+b^{2} c^{2}+6 c^{4}\right) \\
& -a^{2}\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right)\left(4 b^{4}-5 b^{2} c^{2}+4 c^{4}\right)+\left(b^{2}-c^{2}\right)^{2}\left(b^{4}+4 b^{2} c^{2}+c^{4}\right), \\
f_{a}= & a^{6}-3 a^{4}\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right)+a^{2}\left(3 b^{4}-b^{2} c^{2}+3 c^{4}\right)-\left(b^{2}-c^{2}\right)^{2}\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right), \\
g_{a}= & 5 a^{8}-14 a^{6}\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right)+a^{4}\left(12 b^{4}+17 b^{2} c^{2}+12 c^{4}\right) \\
& -a^{2}\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right)\left(2 b^{2}+c^{2}\right)\left(b^{2}+2 c^{2}\right)-\left(b^{2}-c^{2}\right)^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

[^6]${ }^{13}$ The Parry reflection point is the point $X_{399}$ in [6]. The focus of the Kiepert parabola is the point on the circumcircle with coordinates $\left(\frac{a^{2}}{b^{2}-c^{2}}: \frac{b^{2}}{c^{2}-a^{2}}: \frac{c^{2}}{a^{2}-b^{2}}\right)$.

This completes the identification of the Euler line and Brocard axis intersections for points on the Neuberg cubic. The identification of the locus for the $\mathcal{L}_{ \pm 1}$ problems is significantly harder. Indeed, we do not know of any interesting points on this locus, except those listed in Proposition 7.
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[^0]:    Publication Date: April 9, 2001. Communicating Editor: Jean-Pierre Ehrmann.
    ${ }^{1}$ This appears as $X_{21}$ in Kimberling's list [7]. In the expressions of the coordinates, $s$ stands for the semiperimeter of the triangle.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}[5]$ and [8] are good references on tripolar coordinates.
    ${ }^{3}$ The tripolar equations of the lines in $\S \S 5-7$ below can be written down from the barycentric equations of these lines. The calculations in these sections, however, do not make use of these barycentric equations.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ These point-circles are evidently the vertices of triangle $A B C$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ The same equation can be derived directly from the tripolar distances of the symmedian point: $A K^{2}=\frac{b^{2} c^{2}\left(2 b^{2}+2 c^{2}-a^{2}\right)}{\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)^{2}}$ etc. This can be found, for example, in [11, p.118].

[^4]:    ${ }^{10}$ By Theorem 4, it is enough to consider $n$ positive.

[^5]:    ${ }^{11}$ Bernard Gibert has found that the Fermat points of the anticomplementary triangle of $A B C$ also lie on the Neuberg cubic. These are the points $X_{616}$ and $X_{617}$ in [7]. Their isogonal conjugates (in triangle $A B C$ ) clearly lie on the Neuberg cubic too. Ed.

[^6]:    ${ }^{12}$ These coordinates, and those of the Brocard axis intersections, can be calculated by using the fact that triangle $P B C$ has $(-\epsilon)$-isodynamic point at the vertex $A$ and circumcenter at the point $\left(a^{2}\left(\left(b^{2}+c^{2}-a^{2}\right)-\epsilon \cdot 4 \sqrt{3} \Delta\right): b^{2}\left(\left(c^{2}+a^{2}-b^{2}\right)+\epsilon \cdot 4 \sqrt{3} \Delta\right): c^{2}\left(\left(a^{2}+b^{2}-c^{2}\right)+\epsilon \cdot 4 \sqrt{3} \Delta\right)\right)$.

