

On the Derivative of a Vertex Polynomial

James L. Parish

Abstract. A geometric characterization of the critical points of a complex polynomial f is given, in the special case where the zeros of f are the vertices of a polygon affine-equivalent to a regular polygon.

1. Steiner Polygons

The relationship between the locations of the zeros of a complex polynomial f and those of its derivative has been extensively studied. The best-known theorem in this area is the Gauss-Lucas Theorem, that the zeros of f' lie in the convex hull of the zeros of f . The following theorem [1, p.93], due to Linfield, is also of interest:

Theorem 1. *Let $\lambda_j \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, and let z_j , $j = 1, \dots, k$ be distinct complex numbers. Then the zeros of the rational function $R(z) := \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\lambda_j}{z-z_j}$ are the foci of the curve of class $k-1$ which touches each of the $k(k-1)/2$ line segments $\overline{z_\mu, z_\nu}$ in a point dividing that line segment in the ratio $\lambda_\mu : \lambda_\nu$.*

Since $f' = f \cdot \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{z-z_j}$, where the z_j are the zeros of f , Linfield's Theorem can be used to locate the zeros of f' which are not zeros of f .

In this paper, we will consider the case of a polynomial whose zeros form the vertices of a polygon which is affine-equivalent to a regular polygon; the zeros of the derivative can be geometrically characterized in a manner resembling Linfield's Theorem. First, let ζ be a primitive n th root of unity, for some $n \geq 3$. Define $G(\zeta)$ to be the n -gon whose vertices are $\zeta^0, \zeta^1, \dots, \zeta^{n-1}$.

Proposition 2. *Let $n \geq 3$, and let G be an n -gon with vertices v_0, \dots, v_{n-1} , no three of which are collinear. The following are equivalent.*

- (1) *There is an ellipse which is tangent to the edges of G at their midpoints.*
- (2) *G is affine-equivalent to $G(\zeta)$ for some primitive n th root of unity ζ .*
- (3) *There is a primitive n th root of unity ζ and complex constants g, u, v such that $|u| \neq |v|$ and, for $k = 0, \dots, n-1$, $v_k = g + u\zeta^k + v\zeta^{-k}$.*

Proof. 1) \implies 2): Applying an affine transformation if necessary, we may assume that the ellipse is a circle centered at 0 and that $v_0 = 1$. Let m_0 be the midpoint of the edge v_0v_1 . v_0v_1 is then perpendicular to Om_0 , and v_0, v_1 are equidistant from m_0 ; it follows that the right triangles Om_0v_0 and Om_0v_1 are congruent, and in

particular that v_1 also lies on the unit circle. Now let m_1 be the midpoint of v_1v_2 ; since m_0 and m_1 are equidistant from 0 and the triangles Om_0v_1, Om_1v_1 are right, they are congruent, and m_0, m_1 are equidistant from v_1 . It follows that the edges v_0v_1 and v_1v_2 have the same length. Furthermore, the triangles $0v_0v_1$ and $0v_1v_2$ are congruent, whence $v_2 = v_1^2$. Similarly we obtain $v_k = v_1^k$ for all k , and in particular that $v_1^n = v_0 = 1$. $\zeta = v_1$ is a primitive n th root of unity since none of v_0, \dots, v_{n-1} coincide, and $G = G(\zeta)$.

2) \implies 1): $G(\zeta)$ has an ellipse – indeed, a circle – tangent to its edges at their midpoints; an affine transformation preserves this.

2) \iff 3): Any real-linear transformation of \mathbf{C} can be put in the form $z \mapsto uz + v\bar{z}$ for some choice of u, v , and conversely; the transformation is invertible iff $|u| \neq |v|$. \square

We will refer to an n -gon satisfying these conditions as a *Steiner n -gon*; when needed, we will say it *has root ζ* . The ellipse is its *Steiner inellipse*. (This is a generalization of the case $n = 3$; every triangle is a Steiner triangle.) The parameters g, u, v are its *Fourier coordinates*. Note that a Steiner n -gon is regular iff either u or v vanishes.

2. The Foci of the Steiner Inellipse

Now, let S_ζ be the set of Steiner n -gons with root ζ for which the constant g , above, is 0. We may use the Fourier coordinates u, v to identify it with an open subset of \mathbf{C}^2 . Let Φ be the map taking the n -gon with vertices v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} to the n -gon with vertices v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_0 . If f is a complex-valued function whose domain is a subset of S_ζ which is closed under Φ , write φf for $f \circ \Phi$. Note that $\varphi u = \zeta u$ and $\varphi v = \zeta^{-1}v$; this will prove useful. Note also that special points associated with n -gons may be identified with complex-valued functions on appropriate subsets of S_ζ .

We define several useful fields associated with S_ζ . First, let $F = \mathbf{C}(u, v, \bar{u}, \bar{v})$, where u, v are as in 3) of the above proposition. φ is an automorphism of F . Let $K = \mathbf{C}(x, y, \bar{x}, \bar{y})$ be an extension field of F satisfying $x^2 = u, y^2 = v, \bar{x}^2 = \bar{u}, \bar{y}^2 = \bar{v}$. Let θ be a fixed square root of ζ ; we extend φ to K by setting $\varphi x = \theta x, \varphi y = \theta^{-1}y, \varphi \bar{x} = \theta^{-1}\bar{x}, \varphi \bar{y} = \theta \bar{y}$. Let K_0 be the fixed field of φ and K_1 the fixed field of φ^n . Elements of F may be regarded as complex-valued functions defined on dense open subsets of S_ζ . Functions corresponding to elements of K may only be defined locally; however, given $G \in S_\zeta$ such that $uv \neq 0$ and $f \in K_1$ defined at G , one may choose a small neighborhood U_0 of G which is disjoint from $\Phi^k(U_0), k = 1, \dots, n - 1$ and on which neither u nor v vanish; f may then be defined on $U = \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \Phi^k(U_0)$.

For the remainder of this section, G is a fixed Steiner n -gon with root ζ . The vertices of G are v_0, \dots, v_{n-1} . We have the following.

Proposition 3. *The foci of the Steiner inellipse of G are located at $f_\pm = g \pm (\theta + \theta^{-1})xy$.*

Proof. Translating if necessary, we may assume that $g = 0$, i.e., $G \in S_\zeta$. Note first that $f_\pm \in K_0$. (This is to be expected, since the Steiner inellipse and its foci do not depend on the choice of initial vertex.) For $k = 0, \dots, n - 1$, let $m_k = (v_k + v_{k+1})/2$, the midpoint of the edge $v_k v_{k+1}$. Let d_\pm be the distance from f_\pm to m_0 ; we will first show that $d_+ + d_-$ is invariant under φ . (This will imply that the sum of the distances from f_\pm to m_k is the same for all k .) Now, $m_0 = (v_0 + v_1)/2 = ((1 + \zeta)u + (1 + \zeta^{-1})v)/2 = (\theta + \theta^{-1})(\theta x^2 + \theta^{-1}y^2)/2$. Thus, $m_0 - f_+ = (\theta + \theta^{-1})(\theta x^2 - 2xy + \theta^{-1}y^2)/2 = (\zeta + 1)(x - \theta^{-1}y)^2/2$. Hence $d_+ = |m_0 - f_+| = |\zeta + 1|(x - \theta^{-1}y)(\bar{x} - \theta\bar{y})/2$. Similarly, $d_- = |\zeta + 1|(x + \theta^{-1}y)(\bar{x} + \theta\bar{y})/2$, and so $d_+ + d_- = |\zeta + 1|(x\bar{x} + y\bar{y})$, which is invariant under φ as claimed. This shows that there is an ellipse with foci f_\pm passing through the midpoints of the edges of G . If $n \geq 5$, this is already enough to show that this ellipse is the Steiner inellipse; however, for $n = 3, 4$ it remains to show that this ellipse is tangent to the sides, or, equivalently, that the side $v_k v_{k+1}$ is the external bisector of the angle $\angle f_+ m_k f_-$. It suffices to show that $A_k = (m_k - v_k)(m_k - v_{k+1})$ is a positive multiple of $B_k = (m_k - f_+)(m_k - f_-)$. Now $A_0 = -(\zeta - 1)^2(u - \zeta^{-1}v)^2/4$, and $B_0 = (\zeta + 1)^2(x - \theta^{-1}y)^2(x + \theta^{-1}y)^2/4 = (\zeta + 1)^2(u - \zeta^{-1}v)^2/4$; thus, $A_0/B_0 = -(\zeta - 1)^2/(\zeta + 1)^2 = -(\theta - \theta^{-1})^2/(\theta + \theta^{-1})^2$, which is evidently positive. This quantity is invariant under φ ; hence A_k/B_k is also positive for all k . \square

Corollary 4. *The Steiner inellipse of G is a circle iff G is similar to $G(\zeta)$.*

Proof. $f_+ = f_-$ iff $xy = 0$, i.e., iff one of u and v is zero. (Note that $\theta + \theta^{-1} \neq 0$.) \square

Define the *vertex polynomial* $f_G(z)$ of G to be $\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}(z - v_k)$. We have the following.

Proposition 5. *The foci of the Steiner inellipse of G are critical points of f_G .*

Proof. Again, we may assume $G \in S_\zeta$. Since $f'_G/f_G = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(z - v_k)^{-1}$, it suffices to show that this sum vanishes at f_\pm . Now f_+ is invariant under φ , and $v_k = \varphi^k v_0$; hence $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(f_+ - v_k)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\varphi^k(f_+ - v_0)^{-1}$. $(f_+ - v_0)^{-1} = -\theta/((\theta y - x)(y - \theta x))$. Now let $g = \theta^2/((\theta^2 - 1)x(\theta y - x))$. Note that $g \in K_1$; that is, $\varphi^n g = g$. A straightforward calculation shows that $(f_+ - v_0)^{-1} = g - \varphi g$; therefore, $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\varphi^k(f_+ - v_0)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(\varphi^k g - \varphi^{k+1} g) = g - \varphi^n g = 0$, as desired. The proof that f_- is a critical point of f_G is similar. \square

3. Holomorphs

Again, we let G be a Steiner n -gon with root ζ and vertices v_0, \dots, v_{n-1} . For any integer m , we set $v_m = v_l$ where $l = 0, \dots, n - 1$ is congruent to $m \pmod n$. The following lemma is trivial.

Lemma 6. *Let $k = 1, \dots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. Then:*

- (1) *If k is relatively prime to n , let G^k be the n -gon with vertices v_0^k, \dots, v_{n-1}^k given by $v_j^k = v_{jk}$. Then G^k is a Steiner n -gon with root ζ^k , and its Fourier coordinates are g, u, v .*

- (2) If $d = \gcd(k, n)$ is greater than 1 and less than $n/2$, set $m = n/d$. Then, for $l = 0, \dots, d - 1$, let $G^{k,l}$ be the m -gon with vertices $v_0^{k,l}, \dots, v_{m-1}^{k,l}$ given by $v_j^{k,l} = v_{kj+l}$. Then, for each l , $G^{k,l}$ is a Steiner m -gon with root ζ^k , and the Fourier coordinates of $G^{k,l}$ are $g, \zeta^l u, \zeta^{-l} v$. The $G^{k,l}$ all have the same Steiner inellipse.
- (3) If $k = n/2$, the line segments $v_j v_{j+k}$ all have midpoint g .

In the three given cases, we will say k -holomorph of G to refer to G^k , the union of the m -gons $G^{k,l}$, or the union of the line segments $v_j v_{j+k}$. We extend the definition of *Steiner inellipse* to the k -holomorphs in Cases 2 and 3, meaning the common Steiner inellipse of the $G^{k,l}$ or the point g , respectively. The propositions of Section II clearly extend to Case 2; since the foci are critical points of the vertex polynomials of each of the $G^{k,l}$, they are also critical points of their product. In Case 3, taking g as a degenerate ellipse – indeed, circle – with focus at g , the propositions likewise extend; in this case, $\theta = \pm i$, so $\theta + \theta^{-1} = 0$, and the sole critical point of $(z - v_j)(z - v_{j+k})$ is $(v_j + v_{j+k})/2 = g$.

In Cases 1 and 2, it should be noted that the Steiner inellipse is a circle iff the Steiner inellipse of G itself is a circle – i.e., G is similar to $G(\zeta)$. It should also be noted that the vertex polynomials of the holomorphs of G are equal to f_G itself; hence they have the same critical points. Suppose that G is not similar to $G(\zeta)$. If n is odd, G has $(n - 1)/2$ holomorphs, each with a noncircular Steiner inellipse and hence two distinct Steiner foci; these account for the $n - 1$ critical points of f_G . If n is even, G has $(n - 2)/2$ holomorphs in Cases 1 and 2, each with two distinct Steiner foci, and in addition the Case 3 holomorph, providing one more Steiner focus; again, these account for $n - 1$ critical points of f_G . On the other hand, if G is similar to $G(\zeta)$, then $f_G = (z - g)^n - r^n$ for some real r ; the Steiner foci of the holomorphs of G collapse together, and f_G has an $(n - 1)$ -fold critical point at g . We have proven the following.

Theorem 7. *If G is a Steiner n -gon, the critical points of f_G are the foci of the Steiner inellipses of the holomorphs of G , counted with multiplicities if G is regular. They are collinear, lying at the points $g + (2 \cos k\pi/n)xy$, as k ranges from 0 to $n - 1$.*

(For the last statement, note that $\cos(n - k)\pi/n = -\cos k\pi/n$.)

Reference

- [1] Q. I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, *Analytic Theory of Polynomials*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2002.

James L. Parish: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville, Edwardsville, IL USA 62026

E-mail address: jparish@siue.edu