

# **Bicevian Tucker Circles**

# Bernard Gibert

**Abstract**. We prove that there are exactly ten bicevian Tucker circles and show several curves containing the Tucker bicevian perspectors.

# 1. Bicevian Tucker circles

The literature is abundant concerning Tucker circles. We simply recall that a Tucker circle is centered at T on the Brocard axis OK and meets the sidelines of ABC at six points  $A_b$ ,  $A_c$ ,  $B_c$ ,  $B_a$ ,  $C_a$ ,  $C_b$  such that

(i) the lines  $X_y Y_x$  are parallel to the sidelines of ABC,

(ii) the lines  $Y_x Z_x$  are antiparallel to the sidelines of ABC, *i.e.*, parallel to the sidelines of the orthic triangle  $H_a H_b H_c$ .



Figure 1. A Tucker circle

If T is defined by  $\overrightarrow{OT} = t \cdot \overrightarrow{OK}$ , we have

$$B_a C_a = C_b A_b = A_c B_c = \frac{2abc}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2} |t| = R|t| \tan \omega,$$

and the radius of the Tucker circle is

$$R_T = R\sqrt{(1-t)^2 + t^2 \tan^2 \omega}$$

where R is the circumradius and  $\omega$  is the Brocard angle. See Figure 1.

Publication Date: March 19, 2007. Communicating Editor: Paul Yiu.

One obvious and trivial example consists of the circumcircle of ABC which we do not consider in the sequel. From now on, we assume that the six points are not all the vertices of ABC.

In this paper we characterize the *bicevian Tucker circles*, namely those for which a *Tucker triangle* formed by three of the six points (one on each sideline) is perspective to ABC. It is known that if a Tucker triangle is perspective to ABC, its companion triangle formed by the remaining three points is also perspective to ABC. The two perspectors are then said to be cyclocevian conjugates.

There are basically two kinds of Tucker triangles:

(i) those having one sideline parallel to a sideline of ABC: there are three pairs of such triangles e.g.  $A_bB_cC_b$  and its companion  $A_cB_aC_a$ ,

(ii) those not having one sideline parallel to a sideline of ABC: there is only one such pair namely  $A_bB_cC_a$  and its companion  $A_cB_aC_b$ . These are the proper Tucker triangles of the literature.



Figure 2. A Tucker circle through a vertex of ABC

In the former case, there are six bicevian Tucker circles which are obtained when T is the intersection of the Brocard axis with an altitude of ABC (which gives a Tucker circle passing through one vertex of ABC, see Figure 2) or with a perpendicular bisector of the medial triangle (which gives a Tucker circle passing through two midpoints of ABC, see Figure 3).

The latter case is more interesting but more difficult. Let us consider the Tucker triangle  $A_bB_cC_a$  and denote by  $X_a$  the intersection of the lines  $BB_c$  and  $CC_a$ ; define  $X_b$  and  $X_c$  similarly. Thus, ABC and  $A_bB_cC_a$  are perspective (at X) if and only if the three lines  $AA_b$ ,  $BB_c$  and  $CC_a$  are concurrent or equivalently the three



Figure 3. A Tucker circle through two midpoints of ABC

points  $X_a$ ,  $X_b$  and  $X_c$  coincide. Consequently, the triangles ABC and  $A_cB_aC_b$  are also perspective at Y, the cyclocevian conjugate of X.

**Lemma 1.** When T traverses the Brocard axis, the locus of  $X_a$  is a conic  $\gamma_a$ .

*Proof.* This can be obtained through easy calculation. Here is a synthetic proof. Consider the projections  $\pi_1$  from the line AC onto the line BC in the direction of  $H_aH_b$ , and  $\pi_2$  from the line BC onto the line AB in the direction of AC. Clearly,  $\pi_2(\pi_1(B_c)) = \pi_2(A_c) = C_a$ . Hence, the tranformation which associates the line  $BB_c$  to the line  $CC_a$  is a homography between the pencils of lines passing through B and C. It follows from the theorem of Chasles-Steiner that their intersection  $X_a$  must lie on a conic.

This conic  $\gamma_a$  is easy to draw since it contains B, C, the anticomplement  $G_a$  of A, the intersection of the median AG and the symmedian CK and since the tangent at C is the line CA. Hence the perspector X we are seeking must lie on the three conics  $\gamma_a$ ,  $\gamma_b$ ,  $\gamma_c$  and Y must lie on three other similar conics  $\gamma'_a$ ,  $\gamma'_b$ ,  $\gamma'_c$ . See Figure 4.

**Lemma 2.**  $\gamma_a$ ,  $\gamma_b$  and  $\gamma_c$  have three common points  $X_i$ , i = 1, 2, 3, and one of them is always real.

*Proof.* Indeed,  $\gamma_b$  and  $\gamma_c$  for example meet at A and three other points, one of them being necessarily real. On the other hand, it is clear that any point X lying on two conics must lie on the third one.

This yields the following



Figure 4.  $\gamma_a$ ,  $\gamma_b$  and  $\gamma_c$  with only one real common point X

**Theorem 3.** There are three (proper) bicevian Tucker circles and one of them is always real.

#### 2. Bicevian Tucker perspectors

The points  $X_i$  are not ruler and compass constructible since we need intersect two conics having only one known common point. For each  $X_i$  there is a corresponding  $Y_i$  which is its cyclocevian conjugate and the Tucker circle passes through the vertices of the cevian triangles of these two points. We call these six points  $X_i$ ,  $Y_i$  the *Tucker bicevian perspectors*.

When  $X_i$  is known, it is easy to find the corresponding center  $T_i$  of the Tucker circle on the line OK: the perpendicular at  $T_i$  to the line  $H_bH_c$  meets AK at a point and the parallel through this point to  $H_bH_c$  meets the lines AB, AC at two points on the required circle. See Figure 5 where only one X is real and Figure 6 where all three points  $X_i$  are real.

We recall that the bicevian conic C(P, Q) is the conic passing through the vertices of the cevian triangles of P and Q. See [3] for general bicevian conics and their properties.

**Theorem 4.** The three lines  $\mathcal{L}_i$  passing through  $X_i$ ,  $Y_i$  are parallel and perpendicular to the Brocard axis OK.

*Proof.* We know (see [3]) that, for any bicevian conic C(P, Q), there is an inscribed conic bitangent to C(P, Q) at two points lying on the line PQ. On the other hand, any Tucker circle is bitangent to the Brocard ellipse and the line through the contacts is perpendicular to the Brocard axis. Hence, any bicevian Tucker circle must be tangent to the Brocard ellipse at two points lying on the line  $X_iY_i$  and this completes the proof.

Bicevian Tucker circles



Figure 5. One real bicevian Tucker circle



Figure 6. Three real bicevian Tucker circles

**Corollary 5.** The two triangles  $X_1X_2X_3$  and  $Y_1Y_2Y_3$  are perspective at  $X_{512}$  and the axis of perspective is the line GK.

Conversely, any bicevian conic C(P,Q) bitangent to the Brocard ellipse must verify Q = K/P. Such conic has its center on the Brocard line if and only if P lies either

(i) on  $p\mathcal{K}(X_{3051}, K)$  in which case the conic has always its center at the Brocard midpoint  $X_{39}$ , but the Tucker circle with center  $X_{39}$  is not a bicevian conic, or (ii) on  $p\mathcal{K}(X_{669}, K) = K367$  in [4].

This gives the following

**Theorem 6.** The six Tucker bicevian perspectors  $X_i$ ,  $Y_i$  lie on  $p\mathcal{K}(X_{669}, X_6)$ , the pivotal cubic with pivot the Lemoine point K which is invariant in the isoconjugation swapping K and the infinite point  $X_{512}$  of the Lemoine axis.

See Figure 7. We give another proof and more details on this cubic below.



Figure 7. Bicevian Tucker circle and Brocard ellipse

#### 3. Nets of conics associated with the Tucker bicevian perspectors

We now consider curves passing through the six Tucker bicevian perspectors  $X_i$ ,  $Y_i$ . Recall that two of these points are always real and that all six points are two by two cyclocevian conjugates on three lines  $\mathcal{L}_i$  perpendicular to the Brocard axis. We already know two nets of conics containing these points:

(i) the net  $\mathcal{N}$  generated by  $\gamma_a, \gamma_b, \gamma_c$  which contain the points  $X_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ ;

(ii) the net  $\mathcal{N}'$  generated by  $\gamma'_a, \gamma'_b, \gamma'_c$  which contain the points  $Y_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ . The equations of the conics are

$$\gamma_a$$
:  $a^2y(x+z) - b^2x(x+y) = 0.$ 

92

**Bicevian Tucker circles** 

$$\gamma'_a: \qquad a^2 z(x+y) - c^2 x(x+z) = 0;$$

the other equations are obtained through cyclic permutations.

Thus, for any point P = u : v : w in the plane, a conic in  $\mathcal{N}$  is

$$\mathcal{N}(P) = u \,\gamma_a + v \,\gamma_b + w \,\gamma_c;$$

similarly for  $\mathcal{N}'(P)$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{N}(A) = \gamma_a$ , etc.

**Proposition 7.** Each net of conics ( $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{N}'$ ) contains one and only one circle. These circles  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  contain  $X_{110}$ , the focus of the Kiepert parabola.

These circles are

$$\Gamma: \sum_{\text{cyclic}} b^2 c^2 (b^2 - c^2) (a^2 - b^2) x^2 + a^2 (b^2 - c^2) (c^4 + a^2 b^2 - 2a^2 c^2) yz = 0$$

and

$$\Gamma': \sum_{\text{cyclic}} b^2 c^2 (b^2 - c^2) (c^2 - a^2) x^2 - a^2 (b^2 - c^2) (b^4 + a^2 c^2 - 2a^2 b^2) yz = 0.$$

In fact,  $\Gamma = \mathcal{N}(P')$  and  $\Gamma' = \mathcal{N}'(P'')$  where

$$P' = \frac{c^2}{c^2 - a^2} : \frac{a^2}{a^2 - b^2} : \frac{b^2}{b^2 - c^2},$$
$$P'' = \frac{b^2}{b^2 - a^2} : \frac{c^2}{c^2 - b^2} : \frac{a^2}{a^2 - c^2}.$$

These points lie on the trilinear polar of  $X_{523}$ , the line through the centers of the Kiepert and Jerabek hyperbolas and on the circum-conic with perspector  $X_{76}$ , which is the isotomic transform of the Lemoine axis. See Figure 8.

**Proposition 8.** Each net of conics contains a pencil of rectangular hyperbolas. Each pencil contains one rectangular hyperbola passing through  $X_{110}$ .

Note that these two rectangular hyperbolas have the same asymptotic directions which are those of the rectangular circum-hyperbola passing through  $X_{110}$ . See Figure 9.

### 4. Cubics associated with the Tucker bicevian perspectors

When P has coordinates which are linear in x, y, z, the curves  $\mathcal{N}(P)$  and  $\mathcal{N}'(P)$  are in general cubics but  $\mathcal{N}(z : x : y)$  and  $\mathcal{N}'(y : z : x)$  are degenerate. In other words, for any point x : y : z of the plane, we (loosely) may write

$$z\,\gamma_a + x\,\gamma_b + y\,\gamma_c = 0$$

and

$$y \gamma_a' + z \gamma_b' + x \gamma_c' = 0.$$

We obtain two circum-cubics  $\mathcal{K}(P)$  and  $\mathcal{K}'(P)$  when P takes the form

$$P = q z - r y : r x - p z : p y - q x$$



Figure 8. Circles through the Tucker bicevian perspectors



Figure 9. Rectangular hyperbolas through the Tucker bicevian perspectors

associated to the cevian lines of the point Q = p : q : r and both cubics contain Q. Obviously,  $\mathcal{K}(P)$  contains the points  $X_i$  and  $\mathcal{K}'(P)$  contains the points  $Y_i$ . For example, with Q = G, we obtain the two cubics  $\mathcal{K}(G)$  and  $\mathcal{K}'(G)$  passing through G and the vertices of the antimedial triangle  $G_a G_b G_c$ . See Figure 10.



Figure 10. The two cubics  $\mathcal{K}(G)$  and  $\mathcal{K}'(G)$ 

These two cubics  $\mathcal{K}(P)$  and  $\mathcal{K}'(P)$  are isotomic pivotal cubics with pivots the bicentric companions (see [5, p.47] and [2]) of  $X_{523}$  respectively

$$X'_{523} = a^2 - b^2 : b^2 - c^2 : c^2 - a^2$$

and

$$X_{523}'' = c^2 - a^2 : a^2 - b^2 : b^2 - c^2$$

both on the line at infinity. The two other points at infinity of the cubics are those of the Steiner ellipse.

4.1. An alternative proof of Theorem 6. We already know (Theorem 6) that the six Tucker bicevian perspectors  $X_i$ ,  $Y_i$  lie on the cubic  $p\mathcal{K}(X_{669}, X_6)$ . Here is an alternative proof. See Figure 11.

*Proof.* Let U, V, W be the traces of the perpendicular at G to the Brocard axis. We denote by  $\Gamma_a$  the decomposed cubic which is the union of the line AU and the conic  $\gamma_a$ .  $\Gamma_a$  contains the vertices of ABC and the points  $X_i$ .  $\Gamma_b$  and  $\Gamma_c$  are defined similarly and contain the same points.

The cubic  $c^2 \Gamma_a + a^2 \Gamma_b + b^2 \Gamma_c$  is another cubic through the same points since it belongs to the net of cubics. It is easy to verify that this latter cubic is  $p\mathcal{K}(X_{669}, X_6)$ .

Now, if  $\Gamma'_a$ ,  $\Gamma'_b$ ,  $\Gamma'_c$  are defined likewise, the cubic  $b^2 \Gamma'_a + c^2 \Gamma'_b + a^2 \Gamma'_c$  is  $p\mathcal{K}(X_{669}, X_6)$  again and this shows that the six Tucker bicevian perspectors lie on the curve.

B. Gibert



Figure 11.  $p\mathcal{K}(X_{669}, X_6)$  and the three lines  $\mathcal{L}_i$ 

4.2. More on the cubic  $p\mathcal{K}(X_{669}, X_6)$ . The cubic  $p\mathcal{K}(X_{669}, X_6)$  also contains K,  $X_{110}, X_{512}, X_{3124}$  and meets the sidelines of ABC at the feet of the symmedians. Note that the pole  $X_{669}$  is the barycentric product of K and  $X_{512}$ , the isopivot or secondary pivot (see [1], §1.4). This shows that, for any point M on the cubic, the point K/M (cevian quotient or Ceva conjugate) lies on the cubic and the line M K/M contains  $X_{512}$  i.e. is perpendicular to the Brocard axis.

We can apply to the Tucker bicevian perspectors the usual group law on the cubic. For any two points P, Q on  $p\mathcal{K}(X_{669}, X_6)$  we define  $P \oplus Q$  as the third intersection of the line through K and the third point on the line PQ.

For a permuation i, j, k of 1, 2, 3, we have

$$X_i \oplus X_j = Y_k, \qquad Y_i \oplus Y_j = X_k.$$

Furthermore,  $X_i \oplus Y_i = K$ . These properties are obvious since the pivot of the cubic is K and the secondary pivot is  $X_{512}$ .

The third point of  $p\mathcal{K}(X_{669}, X_6)$  on the line  $KX_{110}$  is  $X_{3124} = a^2(b^2 - c^2)^2$ :  $b^2(c^2 - a^2)^2$ :  $c^2(a^2 - b^2)^2$ , the cevian quotient of K and  $X_{512}$  and the third point on the line  $X_{110}X_{512}$  is the cevian quotient of K and  $X_{110}$ .

The infinite points of  $p\mathcal{K}(X_{669}, X_6)$  are  $X_{512}$  and two imaginary points, those of the bicevian ellipse C(G, K) or, equivalently, those of the circum-ellipse  $C(X_{39})$  with perspector  $X_{39}$  and center  $X_{141}$ .

The real asymptote is perpendicular to the Brocard axis and meets the curve at  $X = K/X_{512}$ , the third point on the line  $KX_{110}$  seen above. X also lies on the Brocard ellipse, on C(G, K). See Figure 12.



Figure 12.  $K367 = p\mathcal{K}(X_{669}, X_6)$ 

 $p\mathcal{K}(X_{669}, X_6)$  is the isogonal transform of  $p\mathcal{K}(X_{99}, X_{99})$ , a member of the class CL007 in [4]. These are the  $p\mathcal{K}(W, W)$  cubics or parallel tripolars cubics.

# References

- [1] J.-P. Ehrmann and B. Gibert, Special Isocubics in the Triangle Plane, available at http://perso.orange.fr/bernard.gibert/
- [2] B. Gibert, Barycentric coordinates and Tucker cubics, available at http://perso.orange.fr/bernard.gibert/
- [3] B. Gibert, Bicevian Conics, available at http://perso.orange.fr/bernard.gibert/
- [4] B. Gibert, *Cubics in the Triangle Plane*, available at http://perso.orange.fr/bernard.gibert/
- [5] C. Kimberling, Triangle centers and central triangles, *Congressus Numerantium*, 129 (1998) 1–285.
- [6] C. Kimberling, Encyclopedia of Triangle Centers, available at http://faculty.evansville.edu/ck6/encyclopedia/ETC.html.

Bernard Gibert: 10 rue Cussinel, 42100 - St Etienne, France *E-mail address*: bg42@orange.fr