# Calculations Concerning the Tangent Lengths and Tangency Chords of a Tangential Quadrilateral 

Martin Josefsson


#### Abstract

We derive formulas for the length of the tangency chords and some other quantities in a tangential quadrilateral in terms of the tangent lengths. Three formulas for the area of a bicentric quadrilateral are also proved.


## 1. Introduction

A tangential quadrilateral is a quadrilateral with an incircle, i.e., a circle tangent to its four sides. We will call the distances from the four vertices to the points of tangency the tangent lengths, and denote these by $e, f, g$ and $h$, as indicated in Figure 1.


Figure 1. The tangent lengths

What is so interesting about the tangent lengths is that they alone can be used to calculate for instance the inradius $r$, the area of the quadrilateral $K$ and the length of the diagonals $p$ and $q$. The formula for $r$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\sqrt{\frac{e f g+f g h+g h e+h e f}{e+f+g+h}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its derivation can be found in [5, p.26], [6, pp.187-188] and [13, 15]. Using the well known formula $K=r s=r(e+f+g+h)$, where $s$ is the semiperimeter, we get the area of the tangential quadrilateral [6, p.188]

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\sqrt{(e+f+g+h)(e f g+f g h+g h e+h e f)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hajja [13] has also derived formulas for the length of the diagonals $p=A C$ and $q=B D$. They are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
p & =\sqrt{\frac{e+g}{f+h}((e+g)(f+h)+4 f h)} \\
q & =\sqrt{\frac{f+h}{e+g}((e+g)(f+h)+4 e g)} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

In this paper we prove some formulas that express a few other quantities in a tangential quadrilateral in terms of the tangent lengths.

## 2. The length of the tangency chords

If the incircle in a tangential quadrilateral $A B C D$ is tangent to the sides $A B$, $B C, C D$ and $D A$ at $W, X, Y$ and $Z$ respectively, then the segments $W Y$ and $X Z$ are called the tangency chords according to Dörrie [10, pp.188-189]. One interesting property of the tangency chords is that their intersection is also the intersection of the diagonals $A C$ and $B D$ (see [12, 20] and [24, pp.156-157]; the paper by Tan contains nine different proofs).


Figure 2. The tangency chord $k=W Y$

Theorem 1. The lengths of the tangency chords $W Y$ and $X Z$ in a tangential quadrilateral are respectively

$$
\begin{aligned}
k & =\frac{2(e f g+f g h+g h e+h e f)}{\sqrt{(e+f)(g+h)(e+g)(f+h)}}, \\
l & =\frac{2(e f g+f g h+g h e+h e f)}{\sqrt{(e+h)(f+g)(e+g)(f+h)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. If $I$ is the incenter and angles $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are defined as in Figure 2, by the law of cosines in triangle $W Y I$ we get

$$
k^{2}=2 r^{2}-2 r^{2} \cos (2 \beta+2 \gamma)=2 r^{2}(1-\cos (2 \beta+2 \gamma)) .
$$

Hence, using the addition formula

$$
\frac{k^{2}}{2 r^{2}}=1-\cos 2 \beta \cos 2 \gamma+\sin 2 \beta \sin 2 \gamma
$$

From the double angle formulas, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos 2 \beta=\frac{1-\tan ^{2} \beta}{1+\tan ^{2} \beta}=\frac{r^{2}-r^{2} \tan ^{2} \beta}{r^{2}+r^{2} \tan ^{2} \beta}=\frac{r^{2}-f^{2}}{r^{2}+f^{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\sin 2 \beta=\frac{2 \tan \beta}{1+\tan ^{2} \beta}=\frac{2 r f}{r^{2}+f^{2}}
$$

Similar formulas holds for $\gamma$, with $g$ instead of $f$. Thus, we have

$$
\frac{k^{2}}{2 r^{2}}=1-\frac{r^{2}-f^{2}}{r^{2}+f^{2}} \cdot \frac{r^{2}-g^{2}}{r^{2}+g^{2}}+\frac{2 r f}{r^{2}+f^{2}} \cdot \frac{2 r g}{r^{2}+g^{2}}=2 r^{2} \cdot \frac{(f+g)^{2}}{\left(r^{2}+f^{2}\right)\left(r^{2}+g^{2}\right)}
$$

so

$$
k^{2}=\left(2 r^{2}\right)^{2} \cdot \frac{(f+g)^{2}}{\left(r^{2}+f^{2}\right)\left(r^{2}+g^{2}\right)}
$$

Now we factor $r^{2}+f^{2}$, where $r$ is given by (1). We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{2}+f^{2} & =\frac{e f g+f g h+g h e+h e f+f^{2}(e+f+g+h)}{e+f+g+h} \\
& =\frac{e\left(f g+f h+g h+f^{2}\right)+f\left(g h+f^{2}+f g+f h\right)}{e+f+g+h} \\
& =\frac{(e+f)(g(f+h)+f(h+f))}{e+f+g+h} \\
& =\frac{(e+f)(f+g)(f+h)}{e+f+g+h} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2}+g^{2}=\frac{(e+g)(f+g)(g+h)}{e+f+g+h} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so

$$
k^{2}=\left(2 r^{2}\right)^{2} \cdot \frac{(f+g)^{2}(e+f+g+h)^{2}}{(e+f)(f+g)(f+h)(e+g)(f+g)(g+h)} .
$$

After simplification

$$
k=2 r^{2} \cdot \frac{e+f+g+h}{\sqrt{(e+f)(f+h)(h+g)(g+e)}}
$$

and using (1) we finally get

$$
k=\frac{2(e f g+f g h+g h e+h e f)}{\sqrt{(e+f)(f+h)(h+g)(g+e)}} .
$$

The formula for $l$ can either be derived the same way, or we can use the symmetry in the tangential quadrilateral and need only to make the change $f \leftrightarrow h$ in the formula for $k$.

From Theorem 1 we get the following result, which was Problem 1298 in the Mathematics Magazine [8].

Corollary 2. In a tangential quadrilateral with sides $a, b, c$ and $d$, the quotient of the tangency chords satisfy

$$
\left(\frac{k}{l}\right)^{2}=\frac{b d}{a c}
$$

Proof. Taking the quotient of $k$ and $l$ from Theorem 1, after simplification we get

$$
\frac{k}{l}=\sqrt{\frac{(e+h)(f+g)}{(e+f)(h+g)}}=\sqrt{\frac{d b}{a c}}
$$

and the result follows.
Corollary 3. The tangency chords in a tangential quadrilateral are of equal length if and only if it is a kite.


Figure 3. The tangency chords in a kite

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ If the quadrilateral is a kite it directly follows that the tangency chords are of equal length because of the mirrow symmetry in the longest diagonal (see Figure 3).
$(\Leftarrow)$ Conversely, if the tangency chords are of equal length in a tangential quadrilateral, from Corollary 2 we get $a c=b d$. In all tangential quadrilaterals the consecutive sides $a, b, c$ and $d$ satisfy $a+c=b+d(=e+f+g+h$; see also [1, p.135], [2, pp.65-67] and [23]). Squaring, this implies $a^{2}+2 a c+c^{2}=b^{2}+2 b d+d^{2}$ and using $a c=b d$ it follows that $a^{2}+c^{2}=b^{2}+d^{2}$. This is the characterization for orthodiagonal quadrilaterals ${ }^{1}$ [24, p.158]. The only tangential quadrilateral with perpendicular diagonals is the kite. We give an algebraic proof of this claim. Rewriting two of the equations above, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
a-b & =d-c,  \tag{6}\\
a^{2}-b^{2} & =d^{2}-c^{2} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]Factorizing the second, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a-b)(a+b)=(d-c)(d+c) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 1. If $a=b$ we also have $d=c$ using (6).
Case 2. If $a \neq b$, then we get $a+b=d+c$ after division in (8) by $a-b$ and $d-c$ on respective sides (which by (6) are equal). Now adding $a+b=d+c$ and $a-b=d-c$, we get $2 a=2 d$. Hence $a=d$ and also $b=c$ using (6).

In both cases two pairs of adjacent sides are equal, so the quadrilateral is a kite.

## 3. The angle between the tangency chords

In the proof of the next theorem we will use the following simple lemma.


Figure 4. Alternate angles $w$ and $y$

Lemma 4. The alternate angles between a chord and two tangents to a circle are supplementary angles, i.e., $w+y=\pi$ in Figure 4.

Proof. Extend the tangents at $W$ and $Y$ to intersect at $T$, see Figure 4. Triangle $T W Y$ is isosceles according to the two tangent theorem, so the angles at the base are equal, $w=v$. Also, $v+y=\pi$ since they are angles on a straight line. Hence $w+y=\pi$.

Now we derive a formula for the angle between the two tangency chords.
Theorem 5. If $e, f, g$ and $h$ are the tangent lengths in a tangential quadrilateral, the angle $\varphi$ between the tangency chords is given by

$$
\sin \varphi=\sqrt{\frac{(e+f+g+h)(e f g+f g h+g h e+h e f)}{(e+f)(f+g)(g+h)(h+e)}} .
$$

Proof. We start by relating the angle $\varphi$ to two opposite angles in the tangential quadrilateral (see Figure 5).

From the sum of angles in quadrilaterals $B W P X$ and $D Y P Z$ we have $w+x+$ $\varphi+B=2 \pi$ and $y+z+\varphi+D=2 \pi$. Adding these,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w+x+y+z+2 \varphi+B+D=4 \pi . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 5. The angle $\varphi$ between the tangency chords

Using the lemma, $w+y=\pi$ and $x+z=\pi$. Inserting these into (9), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \pi+2 \varphi+B+D=4 \pi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad B+D=2 \pi-2 \varphi . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the area $K$ of a tangential quadrilateral we have the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\sqrt{a b c d} \sin \frac{B+D}{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b, c$ and $d$ are the sides of the tangential quadrilateral [9, p.28]. Inserting (10), we get

$$
K=\sqrt{a b c d} \sin (\pi-\varphi)=\sqrt{a b c d} \sin \varphi,
$$

hence

$$
\sin \varphi=\frac{K}{\sqrt{a b c d}}=\frac{\sqrt{(e+f+g+h)(e f g+f g h+g h e+h e f)}}{\sqrt{(e+f)(f+g)(g+h)(h+e)}}
$$

where we used (2).
From equation (10) we also get the following well known characterization for a quadrilateral to be bicentric, i.e., both tangential and cyclic. We will however formulate it as a characterization for the tangency chords to be perpendicular. Our proof is similar to that given in [10, pp.188-189] (if we include the derivation of (10) from the last theorem). Other proofs are given in $[4,11]$.

Corollary 6. The tangency chords in a tangential quadrilateral are perpendicular if and only if it is a bicentric quadrilateral.

Proof. In any tangential quadrilateral, $B+D=2 \pi-2 \varphi$ by (10). The tangency chords are perpendicular if and only if

$$
\varphi=\frac{\pi}{2} \Leftrightarrow B+D=\pi
$$

which is a well known characterization for a quadrilateral to be cyclic. Hence this is a characterization for the quadrilateral to be bicentric.

## 4. The area of the contact quadrilateral

If the incircle in a tangential quadrilateral $A B C D$ is tangent to the sides $A B$, $B C, C D$ and $D A$ at $W, X, Y$ and $Z$ respectively, then in [11] Yetti ${ }^{2}$ calls the quadrilateral $W X Y Z$ the contact quadrilateral (see Figure 6). Here we shall derive a formula for its area in terms of the tangent lengths.


Figure 6. The contact quadrilateral $W X Y Z$

Theorem 7. If $e, f, g$ and $h$ are the tangent lengths in a tangential quadrilateral, then the contact quadrilateral has area

$$
K_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{2 \sqrt{(e+f+g+h)(e f g+f g h+g h e+h e f)^{5}}}{(e+f)(e+g)(e+h)(f+g)(f+h)(g+h)} .
$$

Proof. The area of any convex quadrilateral is

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{1}{2} p q \sin \theta \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ and $q$ are the length of the diagonals and $\theta$ is the angle between them (see [21, p.213] and [22]). Hence for the area of the contact quadrilateral we have

$$
K_{c}=\frac{1}{2} k l \sin \varphi
$$

where $k$ and $l$ are the length of the tangency chords and $\varphi$ is the angle between them. Using Theorems 1 and 5, the formula for $K_{c}$ follows at once after simplification.

## 5. The angles of the tangential quadrilateral

The next theorem gives formulas for the sines of the half angles of a tangential quadrilateral in terms of the tangent lengths.

[^1]Theorem 8. If $e, f, g$ and $h$ are the tangent lengths in a tangential quadrilateral $A B C D$, then its angles satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sin \frac{A}{2}=\sqrt{\frac{e f g+f g h+g h e+h e f}{(e+f)(e+g)(e+h)}}, \\
& \sin \frac{B}{2}=\sqrt{\frac{e f g+f g h+g h e+h e f}{(f+e)(f+g)(f+h)}}, \\
& \sin \frac{C}{2}=\sqrt{\frac{e f g+f g h+g h e+h e f}{(g+e)(g+f)(g+h)}}, \\
& \sin \frac{D}{2}=\sqrt{\frac{e f g+f g h+g h e+h e f}{(h+e)(h+f)(h+g)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. If the incircle has center $I$ and is tangent to sides $A B$ and $A D$ at $W$ and $Z$ (see Figure 7), then by the law of cosines in triangle $W Z I$

$$
W Z^{2}=2 r^{2}(1-\cos 2 \alpha)=\frac{4 e^{2} r^{2}}{r^{2}+e^{2}}
$$

where we used

$$
\cos 2 \alpha=\frac{r^{2}-e^{2}}{r^{2}+e^{2}}
$$

which we get from (4) when making the change $f \leftrightarrow e$.


Figure 7. Half the angle of $A$
Now using (1) and

$$
r^{2}+e^{2}=\frac{(e+f)(e+g)(e+h)}{e+f+g+h}
$$

which by symmetry follows from (5) when making the change $g \leftrightarrow e$, we have

$$
W Z^{2}=4 e^{2} \cdot \frac{e f g+f g h+g h e+h e f}{e+f+g+h} \cdot \frac{e+f+g+h}{(e+f)(e+g)(e+h)}
$$

hence

$$
W Z=2 e \sqrt{\frac{e f g+f g h+g h e+h e f}{(e+f)(e+g)(e+h)}} .
$$

Finally, from the definition of sine, we get (see Figure 7)

$$
\sin \frac{A}{2}=\frac{\frac{1}{2} W Z}{e}=\sqrt{\frac{e f g+f g h+g h e+h e f}{(e+f)(e+g)(e+h)}} .
$$

The other formulas can be derived in the same way, or we get them at once using symmetry.

## 6. The area of a bicentric quadrilateral

The formula for the area of a bicentric quadrilateral (see Figure 8) is almost always derived in one of two ways. ${ }^{3}$ Either by inserting $B+D=\pi$ into formula (11) or by using $a+c=b+d$ in Brahmagupta's formula ${ }^{4}$

$$
K=\sqrt{(s-a)(s-b)(s-c)(s-d)}
$$

for the area of a cyclic quadrilateral, where $s$ is the semiperimeter. A third derivation was given by Stapp as a solution to a problem ${ }^{5}$ by Rosenbaum in an old number of the Monthly [18]. Another possibility is to use the formula ${ }^{6}$

$$
K=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(p q)^{2}-(a c-b d)^{2}}
$$

for the area of a tangential quadrilateral [9, p.29], inserting Ptolemy's theorem $p q=a c+b d$ (derived in [1, pp.128-129], [9, p.25] and [24, pp.148-150]) and factorize the radicand.

Here we shall give a fifth proof, using the tangent lengths in a way different from what Stapp did in [18].

Theorem 9. A bicentric quadrilateral with sides $a, b, c$ and $d$ has area

$$
K=\sqrt{a b c d}
$$

Proof. From formula (2) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
K^{2} & =(e f g+f g h+g h e+h e f)(e+f+g+h) \\
& =e f(g+h)(e+f)+e f(g+h)^{2}+g h(e+f)^{2}+g h(e+f)(g+h) \\
& =(e+f)(g+h)(e f+g h+e g+h f-e g-h f)+e f(g+h)^{2}+g h(e+f)^{2} \\
& =(e+f)(g+h)(f+g)(e+h)-(e g-f h)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the factorizations $e f+g h+e g+h f=(f+g)(e+h)$ and

$$
(e+f)(g+h)(-e g-h f)+e f(g+h)^{2}+g h(e+f)^{2}=-(e g-f h)^{2}
$$

[^2]

Figure 8. A bicentric quadrilateral $A B C D$
which are easy to check. Hence

$$
K^{2}=a c b d-(e g-f h)^{2}
$$

and we have $K=\sqrt{a b c d}$ if and only if $e g=f h$, which according to $\mathrm{Hajja}^{7}$ [13] is a characterization for a tangential quadrilateral to be cyclic, i.e., bicentric.

In a bicentric quadrilateral there is a simpler formula for the area in terms of the tangent lengths than (2), according to the next theorem.

Theorem 10. A bicentric quadrilateral with tangent lengths $e, f, g$ and $h$ has area

$$
K=\sqrt[4]{e f g h}(e+f+g+h) .
$$

Proof. The quadrilateral has an incircle, so (see Figure 8)

$$
r=e \tan \frac{A}{2}=f \tan \frac{B}{2}=g \tan \frac{C}{2}=h \tan \frac{D}{2},
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{4}=\text { efgh } \tan \frac{A}{2} \tan \frac{B}{2} \tan \frac{C}{2} \tan \frac{D}{2} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It also has a circumcircle, so $A+C=\pi$. Hence $\frac{A}{2}=\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{C}{2}$ and it follows that

$$
\tan \frac{A}{2}=\cot \frac{C}{2} \Leftrightarrow \tan \frac{A}{2} \tan \frac{C}{2}=1
$$

In the same way

$$
\tan \frac{B}{2} \tan \frac{D}{2}=1 .
$$

[^3]Thus, in a bicentric quadrilateral we get ${ }^{8}$

$$
r^{4}=e f g h
$$

Finally, the area ${ }^{9}$ is given by

$$
K=r s=\sqrt[4]{e f g h}(e+f+g+h)
$$

where $s$ is the semiperimeter.
We conclude with another interesting and possibly new formula for the area of a bicentric quadrilateral in terms of the lengths of the tangency chords and the diagonals.


Figure 9. The tangency chords and diagonals

Theorem 11. A bicentric quadrilateral with tangency chords $k$ and $l$, and diagonals $p$ and $q$ has area

$$
K=\frac{k l p q}{k^{2}+l^{2}}
$$

Proof. Using (12), Theorem 9 and Ptolemy's theorem, we have

$$
K=\frac{1}{2} p q \sin \theta \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sqrt{a b c d}=\frac{1}{2}(a c+b d) \sin \theta
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{2}{\sin \theta}=\frac{a c+b d}{\sqrt{a b c d}}=\sqrt{\frac{a c}{b d}}+\sqrt{\frac{b d}{a c}}=\frac{l}{k}+\frac{k}{l}=\frac{k^{2}+l^{2}}{k l}
$$

[^4]where we used Corollary 2. Then we get the area of the bicentric quadrilateral using (12) again
$$
K=\frac{\sin \theta}{2} p q=\frac{k l p q}{k^{2}+l^{2}}
$$
completing the proof.

## References

[1] N. Altshiller-Court, College Geometry, Dover reprint, 2007.
[2] T. Andreescu and B. Enescu, Mathematical Olympiad Treasures, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004.
[3] Art of problem Solving, Olympiad geometry forum,
http://www.artofproblemsolving.com/Forum/viewforum.php?f=4\&
[4] M. Bataille, A Duality for Bicentric Quadrilaterals, Crux Math., 35 (2009) 310-312.
[5] C. J. Bradley, Challenges in Geometry, Oxford University press, 2005.
[6] J. Casey, A Treatise on Plane Trigonometry, BiblioLife, 2009.
[7] H. S. M. Coxeter and S. L. Greitzer, Geometry Revisited, Math. Assoc. Amer., 1967.
[8] H. Demir and J. M. Stark, Problem 1298, Math. Mag. 61 (1988) 195; solution, ibid., 62 (1989) 200-201.
[9] C. V. Durell and A. Robson, Advanced Trigonometry, Dover reprint, 2003.
[10] H. Dörrie, 100 Great Problems of Elementary Mathematics, Dover, 1965.
[11] Fermat-Euler (username), Prove that $c c_{1}$ bisects, Art of Problem Solving, 2005, http://www.artofproblemsolving.com/Forum/viewtopic.php?t=55918
[12] D. Grinberg, Circumscribed quadrilaterals revisited, 2008, available at http://www.cip.ifi.lmu.de/~grinberg/CircumRev.pdf
[13] M. Hajja, A condition for a circumscriptible quadrilateral to be cyclic, Forum Geom., 8 (2008) 103-106.
[14] M. Josefsson, On the inradius of a tangential quadrilateral, Forum Geom., 10 (2010) 27-34.
[15] M. S. Klamkin, Five Klamkin Quickies, Crux Math. 23 (1997) 70-71.
[16] Z. A. Melzak, Invitation to Geometry, Dover reprint, 2008.
[17] N. Minculete, Characterizations of a tangential quadrilateral, Forum Geom. 9 (2009) 113-118.
[18] J. Rosenbaum and M. C. Stapp, Problem E 851, Amer. Math. Monthly, 56 (1949) 104; solution, ibid., 56 (1949) 553.
[19] P. Shi, Looks so easy [find inradius of circumscribed quadrilateral], Art of Problem Solving, 2005,
http://www.artofproblemsolving.com/Forum/viewtopic.php?t=28864
[20] K. Tan, Some proofs of a theorem on quadrilateral, Math. Mag., 35 (1962) 289-294.
[21] J. A. Vince, Geometry for Computer Graphics. Formulae, Examples and Proofs, Springer, 2005.
[22] E. Weisstein, Quadrilateral at MathWorld, http://mathworld.wolfram.com/Quadrilateral.html
[23] C. Worrall, A journey with circumscribable quadrilaterals, Mathematics Teacher, 98 (2004) 192-199.
[24] P. Yiu, Euclidean Geometry Notes, 1998, Florida Atlantic University Lecture Notes, available at http://math.fau.edu/Yiu/EuclideanGeometryNotes.pdf

Martin Josefsson: Västergatan 25d, 28537 Markaryd, Sweden
E-mail address: martin.markaryd@hotmail.com


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ A quadrilateral with perpendicular diagonals.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Yetti is the username of an American physicist at the website Art of Problem Solving [3].

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Or intended to be derived so; in many books $[1,7,16,24]$ this is an exercise rather than a theorem.
    ${ }^{4}$ For a derivation, see [7, pp.57-58] or [9, p.24].
    ${ }^{5}$ The problem was to prove our Theorem 9. Stapp used the tangent lengths in his calculation.
    ${ }^{6}$ This formula can be derived independently from (11) and Brahmagupta's formula.

[^3]:    ${ }^{7}$ Note that Hajja uses $a, b, c$ and $d$ for the tangent lengths.

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ This derivation was done by Yetti in [19], where there are also some proofs of formula (1).
    ${ }^{9}$ This formula also gives the area of a tangential trapezoid. Since it has two adjacent supplementary angles, $\tan \frac{A}{2} \tan \frac{D}{2}=\tan \frac{B}{2} \tan \frac{C}{2}=1$ or $\tan \frac{A}{2} \tan \frac{B}{2}=\tan \frac{C}{2} \tan \frac{D}{2}=1$; thus the formula for $r$ is still valid.

